♠ AO 120 (Rev. 3/04)

TO:

Mail Stop 8

Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK

In Compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been filed in the U.S. District Court Eastern District of Texas on the following Patents or G Trad

PACKET NO. 21855	DATE FILED 29/2010	U.S. DISTRICT COURT Eastern District of Texas WD TX	
PLAINTIFF KILTS RESOURCES LI	_C	DEFENDANT ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC.	
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	
1 4,577,216	March 18, 1986	Advanced Micro Devices, Inc.	
2 4,631,603	December 23, 1986	Advanced Micro Devices, Inc.	
3 4,819,098	April 4, 1989	Advanced Micro Devices, Inc.	
4			
5			
DATE INCLUDED PATENT OR	INCLUDED BY G Amen DATE OF PATENT	Adment G Answer G Cross Bill G Other Pleading HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	
TRADEMARK NO.	OR TRADEMARK		
2			
3			
4			
5			
In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT			
4/27/2011 Orden & Dismissar (Copy attached)			
CLERK SOLUTIAM G. PU	THICK!	LINGA Damai H-28-2011	

Case No: 1:11cv218

٧,

Filed: 04/27/11 .. Doc. #15

FILED
TED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2011 APR 27 PM 4: 19

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

UNITED STATES ex rel. KILTS RESOURCES, LLC., Relator/Plaintiff, Case No. AU:11-CV-00218-SS BY ____

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC., Defendant

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

In light of the settlement agreement and stipulation of dismissal between Plaintiff Kilts Resources, LLC. ("Kilts") and Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. ("Defendant"), the Court HEREBY ORDERS as follows:

- 1. Kilts's Complaint and its false patent marking claims pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 292 against Defendant are hereby dismissed with prejudice.
- 2. Defendant is authorized to exhaust its supply of packaging, databooks, and promotional materials that are in existence as of the date of the Order of Dismissal of the case and that concern any product named in the Complaint and/or any other product associated with any patent number named or attached to the Complaint. Specifically, Defendant may sell products utilizing the existing supply of packaging and display and distribute or make available existing databooks and existing promotional materials without further penalty, regardless of any marking on such products or materials that could otherwise be alleged to be an indicia of a false marking violation under 35 U.S.C. § 292.
- 3. Kilts and Defendant shall each bear their own respective attorney fees and costs incurred in connection with this action.

4.	This Court retains jurisdiction over the parties and the settlement agreement for
purpos	es of resolving any dispute that may arise under it.
SIGNE	DON <u>April 27</u> , 2011

Jamsparla UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE